

2 Attempts To Discredit DECEPTION

2.1 Self-Absorbed Evasive Disclaimers!

DECEPTION was published on Gaur Purnima in March of 2020, and I sent a copy of it to you shortly after that. I was looking forward to a comprehensive response similar to the ones I did for all your books. I was encouraged by your statement:

*I am open to speak with anyone who would like to persuade me that an **existing** investigative report on the matter suitably addresses this topic. If convinced I will withdraw my participation in the November 14th Commission.*” – KGBG p108

There was a long delay until October 14, of 2021 when you eventually send me the critique you had been promising for seven months. When I finally got it I was so stunned by your lack of coherent transparency I had to face the fact that I had *grossly overestimated your professional competence, your reasoning acumen, and your personal integrity.*

Your 14 page critique of **DECEPTION** begins with extensive commentary about the term “*wounded souls*”¹ used in the subtitle. You apparently took offense to that and then dismissed the psychological symptoms I identified that are shared by those who continue to promote this contrived rumor. But you said very little of the other 500 pages of supporting court-worthy evidence proving nobody could have poisoned Srila Prabhupada as alleged. I soon realized that you had no interest in *speak(ing) with anyone who would like to persuade (you).* You ignored the bulk of what I presented and instead sent me ten pages of petty and irrelevant unsupported sentiments!

2.2 Sentimental Obfuscation to Denie Facts

DECEPTION exposes the sloppy research, linguist trickery, intentionally misleading statements and the contemptuous agenda that lies behind the P-Con. Instead of having a serious discussion about the history of cadmium, the frivolous nature of the whisper evidence, or a closer look at what Srila Prabhupada was communicating, you sent pages of your “Opinions” that ramble down endless unrelated rabbit holes with disclaimer comments like:

1. *to me, the interpretations you give, based on my reading*
2. *simple-minded as I may be, conditioned soul that I am, my conclusions*
3. *it's clear to me, it seems clear to me, to me it sounds clear*
4. *so, for me, it's not clear, for me comes across as very muddled*
5. *my study, my sense is that, my sense is that you're asserting*
6. *that perhaps I'm just not seeing something, I believe that the GBC*
7. *my view, again, my view from my point of view, my point of view is*
8. *my tendency, faulty as it may be, from my perspective, my perspective, my perceptions*
9. *conditioned soul that I am, indicates to me.*

Expressing your OWN emotions, perceptions, and thoughts might be appropriate in psychoanalysis where it is encouraged, but there is little place for it when determining if someone committed a murder. When disputing a serious subject like this, it is imperative that we set our bias feelings aside and very carefully scrutinize what the tangible facts are that we do have. Srila Bhaktisiddhanta Saraswati Thakur made an appropriate point which applies here:

“One who starts a comment with ‘I think’... should end it in a closet.”

It is remarkable that you feel your personal feelings, views, or ideas... should carry more weight in the pursuit of justice than axiomatic evidence that stands on its own. Why are you so negligent about

¹ Why would you do that unless... perhaps you took it personally and did not like considering the fact that you too must be a “**wounded soul**” to give ANY credibility to the manic P-Con-Rumor!



following the rules that define what acceptable evidence is as established by our legal system, the most fair and honorable form of justice in the world.

Towards the end of your critique letter you included this interesting comment:

"I genuinely seek and want to have and continue to have open-mindedness in regards to truths connected with Srila Prabhupada's disappearance pastime, and I welcome feedback on how you and others perceive me in that regard."

I am approaching you now... several years later, hoping you will have an open mind in regards to re-evaluating your understanding of Srila Prabhupada's disappearance pastime. Having been very involved in this matter for several years many have confided in me how they perceive this issue. I am confident that the conclusions I have come to represent a wide spectrum of the Vaishnava community. I am hoping you will be a bit more responsive to the content of this letter and this time not just brush it aside with another closing dismissive comment: *"I feel complete in our exchange regarding this topic."* -Dhira Govinda Letter to mayesvara Nov 26, 2021

2.3 Pareto Principle (80-20 Rule) Applied to our Human Condition

The National Association on Mental health tells us that 20-25 percent of the population require medications to get through their day. They further inform us that another fifth of the population is so dysfunctional they should be getting help to aid them in daily affairs, but they are too proud to ask for it! This explains a lot in regards to ISKCON-Bashers and the persistence unfounded P-Con Rumor.

As of September 2025 when I am writing this, Nearly 10,000 people have seen the movie **DECEPTION**. Exit interviews indicate that approximately 80% of those who were undecided about this issue are convinced the P-Con is an obvious hoax. The movie only covers about 20% of the extensive evidence presented in the book so it's understandable why you and the perpetrators of this rumor really don't want your audience to be exposed to my research! For that reason you have chosen to ignore it and have attempted to discredit it with unsupported personal opinions like the ones in your mediocre critique. Consequently, the best rebuttal to the numerous immutable facts presented in DICRIPTION that your very poorly staffed P-Con-Think-Tank has been able to come up with is a broad sweeping emotional outburst like:

DECEPTION is filled with off-the-wall lies, distortions, and crooked deception. -SPHG p2 CHAPTER 156: ISKCON COVER-UPS AND-SPHG p2 Chapter 115 "Prabhupada Poisoning Proven"

To make these opinions more colorful, I am derided as an unqualified, incompetent, dishonest, frenetic, blustery and crude person hired by the GBC to cover up for them:

*"The second book was produced in 2020 by Mayeswara das, a frenetic, blustery, and crude person, who was unofficially anointed and empowered by the GBC to organize pushback of the poisoning evidence, and was titled **DECEPTION: The Poison Conspiracy**. Many have found this book to be a scrambled hodge-podge of wild opinions, hot air, mixed with bad science, full of glaring 'errors,' almost indecipherable, and which deceitfully circumvents the actual truths and facts."* <https://prabhupadatruthcommission.org/mayeswaras-massive-misinformation>

Here the author alleges that I was empowered by the GBC although it's not clear what that means. I have repeatedly stated that the GBC had absolutely no input into my work nor did I ever receive any funding for the three years I spend researching this charade. It is never made clear what details I authored which are now referred to as "wild opinions" or "hot air." Neither is it clear what the P-Con-Boys consider to be "Bad Science, & errors" that circumvent the truth.

This is the typical smoke screen you and your colleagues create as an alternative to addressing the court-worthy facts which expose the P-Con fraud. It's easier to slash at me with insolent, irrational



and dishonest opinions, but that does not make the facts disappear!² People with integrity do not engage in such childish evasive tactics. One who wants to understand what happened properly will welcomes the opportunity to discard unfounded beliefs that keep them from maturing to a more well-informed view of reality.

2.4 Vigilante Cheating “Change Up”

We have seen for over 20-years how this demoniac fantasy has been buttress up with whatever can be found to perpetuate it among the less intelligent. Now we shall see how clever they are in exploiting the unsettled emotions of ISKCON-Bashers who cannot connect the dots no matter how closely they are aligned!

On Tuesday September 2, 2025 you posted an article on NJFSPF³ from your inspirational leader Mr. Kuyt although you did not have the integrity to give him the credit he is due as the author! In that post you published the first of ten sections from Nico’s polemic that you lifted directly from his Fib-Site: *Mayeswara’s Massive Misinformation* <https://prabhupadatruthcommission.org/mayeswaras-massive-misinformation/>⁴

This article is filled with immature comments, derogatory statements, and demeaning opinions. There are no citations to the statements he considers to be wrong, unscientific or flat out lies! Hiding behind vague tangentially references is safe when your readers are not mature enough to realize why they are important. To prove me wrong an astute reader would point to the following seven examples where page numbers are coordinated with specific arguments

1. In Mayeswara’s book **DECEPTION**, p. 37, he quotes from a 2001 Hair Analysis Panel Discussion...
2. (7) On p. 34 of **DECEPTION**, Mayeswara again made **false accusations**, accompanied by a silly cartoon,...
3. On p. 33 Mayeswara fussed how sometimes hair tests finding near-normal levels of cadmium will not...
4. Mayeswara puts stuff together that sounds good to himself but is bad science: (P. 35) But this is false ...
5. (**DECEPTION**, p. 15-16) Mayeswara’s errant philosophical interpretation negates the possibility of Srila...
6. Mayeswara takes (p. 36) a potshot at Dr. Morris: “With all due respect to Dr. Steve Morris and his...
7. Mayeswara stated (p. 69): “If this were heard by a trial jury, the prosecuting attorney would have to...”⁵

Those who present these statements as objections to the contents found in **DECEPTION** are simply identifying another example of the type of intentional cheating that is done to keep the P-Con alive. The reader is led to believe that these citations come from the book **DECEPTION**, but they don’t! In an attempt to make **DECEPTION** appear comical and absurd, the deceitful author is providing citations from the 2017 P-Con LAMPOON: *The Poison Conspiracy Antidote!* (<http://jagannatha.com/poison-lampoon-2017/>)

I wrote this parody prior to publishing the more serious study reported in **DECEPTION** because I originally thought that should be all it takes to expose this ridiculous rumor!⁶ What we have her is a disgraceful attempt to degrade serious evidence into the comical via a dastardly change-up! This is like

² This is more thoroughly covered in the section that comes later called “When you can’t win... Attack!”

³ This is a reference to the Justice For Srila Prabhupada Foundation. We have added the initial “N” for the word “No”

⁴ Nico is so sloppy and unprofessional he often does not date any his work. We have also noted that he doesn’t even provide page numbers in his more recently published books! I will leave it to the reader to decide if this is just another clever way to make it difficult to scrutinize what he publishes and avoiding accountability, or he is just so extraordinarily incompetent and over his head in terms of providing a coherent document, what to speak of anything worth serious examination! We may get an answer to this question after this document gets formally published and one of his otherwise dull-headed sycophants bring it to his attention and he changes it.

⁵ I apologize for not being able to be more precise in regards to where you will find these clauses, but like I said in the previous footnote, Nico is so sloppy he provides NO Page numbers to this 6,000 word (9 page) document!

⁶ I was under the impression that the P-Con died out and went away circa 2002 but when it resurged in 2017, I felt compelled to respond in a way to show how utterly absurd it was. I felt a rip-roaring lampoon of this ridiculous rumor would be all that was necessary to awaken those buffooned by this ridiculous ruse to not give it a 2nd thought. That’s why I wrote *The Poison Conspiracy Antidote* (<http://jagannatha.com/poison-lampoon-2017/>) and illustrated it with appropriate cartoons to drive home how contrived and silly all the murder allegations were. Many read this and thanked me for the good laugh, but Dhira Govinda approached the lampoon with his over starched Satvatove-snowflake-hat on and dismissed it as offensive and prejudicial. (Deliriously forgetting how offensive and prejudicial it is for him to accuse a far senior devotee of murder based on bubble-gum and popsicle-sticks evidence!) I was so astonished at the depth of his



ମିତ୍ତଳ ଲାଘୁଦେବୀରା ଦାସା ଚନ୍ତ...

reading a review you are told was published in the *New York Times*,⁷ only to later discover the article was actually published in the *National Lampoon*!⁸

Engaging in cheap tricks like this is a clear lack of integrity. So my question for you Dhir Govinda is:
"Why do you continue to endorse it this type of low class nonsense?"

denial I realized that a much more serious study must be done on behalf of the historians and that was the driving force behind authoring the movie and book referred to as DECEPTION.

⁷ The **New York Times** is widely regarded as the most trusted newspaper in the USA, consistently ranking at the top for reputation, readership, and perceived credibility across multiple recent surveys and media rankings

⁸ **National Lampoon** was an American [humor magazine](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Lampoon_(magazine)) that ran from 1970 to 1998. *National Lampoon* writers joyfully targeted every kind of phoniness, and had no specific political stance (even though individual staff members had strong political views). The magazine's humor often pushed far beyond the boundaries of what was generally considered appropriate and acceptable. It was especially anarchic, satirically attacking what was considered holy and sacred. As Teddy Wayne described it, "At its peak, the [*National Lampoon*] produced some of the bleakest and most controlled furious humor in American letters." [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Lampoon_\(magazine\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_Lampoon_(magazine))