


# New Un-Improved Edition of Poison Conspiracy (P-Con) Propaganda?

The authors of colossal P-Con fraud have had over two years to respond to the "Truth Challenge Horse" published in **DECEPTION** Section 11.5 but they strategically avoided the questions posed there. If they were serious about the truth why would they do that? The only thing new with this rehash of P-Con propaganda is the bizarre revised title of their diatribe. "SriIa Prabhupada Triumph Disappearance." There is nothing "Triumphant" about a fabricated tale of contempt.



**P-Con** script writers are reticent about drawing too much attention to **DECEPTION**. They only tangentially mention it with the exception of a critique by Dhira Govinda who is so deeply entrenched in this ruse he forfeits his professional credibility to openly endorse this witch hunt. Although he has the ability to understand how forged the so-called evidence is, that acumen has been eclipsed by his own psychological needs and vested interests.

It is embarrassing that a man with a PHD in Behaviourial Science completely ignores the cross-examination questions and blesses the horrendous allegations of someone he agrees is *distasteful, disagreeable, & unpleasant!* His critique of **DECEPTION** is merely an emotional proclamation of HIS bias feeling, agenda driven opinions, and a litany of suspicions about ISKCON leadership. Nowhere does he attempt to address the imperative questions that keeps this Con-job out of the courtroom. It is obvious that his misgivings towards those who allegedly committed this crime obfuscates his prudent discretion. A great man does NOT accuse someone


of murder until there is sufficient court-worthy evidence to do so and sober individuals can recognize that is lacking with the P-Con. This is a clear case of cowering from the **Challenge Horse** and falling head first into the well of a clever court evasive oxymoron like:

"Court convictions are for WHO poisoned, not for WHETHER there was a poisoning. Convictions of poisoners is not necessary to prove a crime." -SPTD 842

Avoiding the **DECEPTION** research is evidence of denial. Some may be handicapped with a low attention span while others just run from the dialogue. Even the movie version of **DECEPTION** is too much for those who suffer from: "Coping Phobia" Yet these self-declared experts prowl for recruits to boost their insecure version of the truth. That is why I created this series with only ONE question at a time. When a P-Con patron attempts to infect an exchange with their delusional ideas you need only request they provide a coherent answer to the posted question. This usually ends their emotional download and may get you blocked on Facebook-which isn't such a bad thing if one prefers the association of gentlemen over cowards. On the rare occasion when you might get a response, you can then observe how coherent that individual is. You can expect them to divert with the unconfirmed declaration: "SriIa Prabhupada's poisoning has now been definitely proven with hard scientific proof." -SPTD 850

This illustration exposes how fallacious that claim is. **DECEPTION** reveals how the P-Con is propped up with spurious claims, chronic denial, false allegations, raw hubris belligerent self-righteousness, and vile contempt. The stigma of humiliation keeps many clinging tightly to this colossal ruse like a child's safety blanket.

2



What follows is a series of illustrations that use the Socratic Method to Expose the Fraud behind the P-Con



The Prompt NAA process is used to test for cadmium but Dr. Morris used the Delayed NAA method! (The WRONG method!)

How could someone ingest enough Cd to generate a reading of 250% above normal and still live long enough for their hair to grow out?

OSHA and all the other regulatory agencies did NOT even know that cadmium was deadly in 1977!

Where is the 2nd opinion peer review of Dr. Morris's work when he set the dials for arsenic but discovered cadmium?

**QUESTION:** The P-Con operatives say they only seek the truth but then why do they avoid answering the pivotal questions that prove nobody could have poisoned SriIa Prabhupada as they allege? Why do they rely so much on character assassination, pseudo science, and the court of public opinion? The Socratic method for uncovering the truth is to ask revealing questions. The book **DECEPTION** exposes a litany of inconsistencies in the P-Con story which deserve to be coherently answered. This evasion reflects the real agenda that drives the P-Con forward.

**Socratic Method Exposes P-Con Fraud Series:**

Based on asking and answering questions to stimulate critical thinking and to draw out ideas and underlying presuppositions.

c/o mayesvara dasa  
(805) 640-0405  
mdjagdas@gmail.com