Hair Study is **NOT** Irrefutable Proof!

Proof Of

Mistake!

cadmium reading

Proof Of

Poisoning!

(Too Bias to Be

Honorable)

"A breakthrough in forensic evidence was now in hand that would remove all doubts about Srila Prabhupada's poisoning, even in diehard non-believers. Scientifically-minded persons wanted indisputable forensic proof, and here it was... sky-high amounts of cadmium were indismissable." -SPTD 58 250% above norma

Here is what the P-Con pros refer to as "Indisputable forensic proof": First they start with a citation from the Great Smokies Diagnostics Laboratory (GSDL):"...it is concluded that hair metal analysis in samples close to the scalp is not seriously invalidated by sources of external contamination."-SPTD 500 This comment is a typically irrelevant deflection of the fact that the hair was not immediately cut then tested. It was cut 25 years earlier and the suspect hair sometimes changed containers and ownership seven times as it traveled the globe for two decades! That alone makes the hair evidence unreliable. Nico then audaciously declares that he: "never used the GSDL lab." Maybe not, but he quotes them and misleads followers from learning how GSDL was shut down for cheating clients! (DECEPTION 8.2.2)

"After massive nuclear activation, the resultant radioactivity of the sample is measured over five days"....

Each element has a different optimum time for measurement (2)... Since our samples were very small, (3) Dr. Morris

Neutron Activation Analysis

wanted to refine his testing techniques to maximize the accuracy. (4) He would increase the neutron activation by more radiation than normal, and measure Explain This? Nico knows the blades on the cadmium plated hair clippers the arsenic but we had **stumbled upon** such an unusual poison as cadmium! (7) -KGBG 202 "Dr. Morris clearly reported: "External contamination cannot be completely ruled out without a detailed history of **the sample**; (8) ... I also carefully analyzed the high vials... use(d) in of the elements of interest in these vials. (9) Keep in mind insofar as $\frac{1}{9}$ trace elements are concerned there is "everything in everything" if one has a technique sensitive enough to make the measurement,

- This is a confirmation that the DELAYED Gamma NAA testing was used.
- Confirmation that each element requires different testing methodologies.
- 3. Admission that samples were "very small". (Standard is 2in, not 2mm)
- 4. Admission that Dr. Morris was "EXPERIMENTING" on how to test hair.
- 5. Normal to what, non-hair samples? He tested for arsenic and burned samples to dust!
- Prompt Gamma NAA testing produces results in 10 Min. (DGNAA was used)
- 8. Dr. Morris's legal notification that agenda driven lying Nico never discloses
- 9. Testing for arsenic! All prep work was arsenic not cadmium! (See 2 above)
- 10. Agreed that cadmium is everywhere. (It becomes air bound via mining & industry)

* Thermo Fisher Scientific: World leader in innovative technology, confirms cadmium requires

PGNAA testing because it's Gamma-ray is limited to a ten minutes bounce off rate. Thus the arsenic tests were not appropriate for cadmium & produced unreliable results. above findings for the metal screen may have been a result of environmental

https://assets.thermofisher.com/TFS-Assets/CAD/Schematics-&-Diagrams/periodic-table-pgnaa-detection-limit-guidelines.pdf

QUESTION: Why do you believe malicious intent is the ONLY possibility explanation for absurdly high reading when three of the P-Cons own experts warn: Do NOT rule out external contamination? What trauma drives you to blindly accept Dr. Morris studies that were NOT confirmed by a separate lab? How do you explain why ONLY hair with absurd readings were destroyed when the NAA process is

DECEPTION exposed many careless statements in prior version of the P-Con charade (Too Absurd to Be Possible

which reveals how contrived it is:

Matter of Trust makes environmental clean up mats out of human hair which acts like an environmental sponge capable of absorbing more than five times its weight in pollutants.

"Cadmium poisoning results in symptoms very similar to many other conditions & diseases... "Hair analysis is not a very reliable indicator of total body burden" -квв 325

The total breakdown in the *chain of custody* for the hair samples was evident with confessions like: "Confirming the date of cutting each hair sample was often impossible. Which year was the hair sample cut? Which poison to test for? It was like

groping in the dark." -KGBG 80 The new edition of the ever-evolving P-Con play is all

about damage control. Yet regardless of how ignorant the authors are about evidence laws, the hair was never environmentally protected and is inadmissible as evidence. "Double testing should have been done by another accredited laboratory If at all you want to use it, it is not scalp hair it is pubic hair, because pubic hair is not exposed to the environment, like head hair is. Head hair to me is not at all ideal because *chances of contamination is very high*.

- Dr.V.V. Pillay - Head of Analytical Toxicology AIMS, Cochin, Kerala

of external contamination? The cadmium was NOT EXOGENOUS."-SPTD 499

threaten the viability of his prejudicial conclusions and can not be ignored so he claims: "A sample of the Wahl brand hair-clipper oil had been tested and it was found NOT to have any significant amounts of arsenic."-KGBG 89 and: "Later we will show how the hair clippers themselves were tested for cadmium plating and the results were negative."-kgbg 218 In the NAA experiments and as expected there is a minute presence section 8.5.2 of **DECEPTION** we ask: Where is that Study?...and that question is repeated in the movie. The new 2022 propaganda is an excellent oppor--tunity for the No-Truth Team to simply provide the report, but again we are just told (Trust Me): The hair clippers were tested for cadmium plating (negative). The clippers' lubricating oil had no arsenic, and we presume no cadmium either. How could 3 different hair samples have such similar levels

> A chronic lier TELLS US about a test but where is the report? Here is also the confession that the executioner is "Presuming" there was no cadmium

in the oil because they allegedly tested for arsenic. We are then again deliberately 7. Admission their equipment was set to find arsenic not cadmium. (See 2 above) misled with exactly the opposite of what Dr. Morris and two others warned: "Do Not Rule Out External Contamination"-KGBG 204 Which was also repeated by their own witness Dr.

> Chatt: "The level of 20 ppm seems to be very high if external contamination is ruled out."-KGBG 217 Nico is again reminded of the obvious possibility of environmental contamination on the report he published from the National Medical Services -KGBG 97 who also tested hair samples for heavy metals. They found NO cadmium and cautioned: "The

contamination" Regarding: Item 2. Hair clippers They said: "NO analysis"

praised for leaving the contents intact? Where is ONE toxicologist willing to publicly confirm the prejudicial conclusions of the P-Con?